A Mobile Ad-hoc network (MANETs) is a dynamically formed self-configured network by an autonomous system of mobile nodes connected by wireless links. With the advancements of wireless technology, the necessity of Quality of Service (QoS) is increasing rapidly. Developing QoS constraint routing protocol for MANETs is still a challenging task. As the nodes are free to move randomly, most routing protocols for MANETs are susceptible to node mobility. As routing protocol has to decide which route is able to fulfill the requirement of the desired QoS. Routing is the most important part to accomplish the specified application with desired QoS metrics. This paper is based on design of such a kind of technique that will estimate the available bandwidth throughout the path by assigning precedence. Based on available bandwidth, packets are transferred from source to destination of the applications in queue based on precedence. Results of this approach implemented using network simulator and show that protocol can significantly reduce overheads and decrease overall end-to-end delay and improved packet delivery ratio.
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Generally, bandwidth constrained path considered in MANETs, packets will be transferred only if desired bandwidth is available throughout the path. But in case precedence Based Routing protocol (PBRP), packet transfer proceeds based on precedence assigned to multiple applications in queue with different throughput requirements as per bandwidth availability on the path. The precedence Based Routing protocol (PBRP) is based on conventional AODV, in which routing table is used to forward packets and “Hello” messages are used to detect broken route. The protocol modifies and extends AODV [4] to discover the routes and maintain the minimum required bandwidth based on precedence of multiple applications in queue. PBRP selects QoS constrained routes in terms of available bandwidth and follows alternate route method for route maintenance. It considers only bandwidth constrained routing based on precedence for multiple applications in queue and supports real-time applications. In this paper, a QoS constrained routing has been proposed that provides feedback about the available bandwidth throughout the route with minimized overall overheads during transmission of data.

RELATED WORKS

Several routing protocols have been suggested for MANETs [6]-[10] that solve link failure problem, support reliable data transmission, estimating maximum achievable throughput. Considering AODV as base routing protocol, Sung-Ju Lee et al. [6] proposed a backup routing protocol called Ad hoc On demand Distance Vector Backup Routing (AODV-BR). The backup routes are discovered by overhearing Route Reply (RREP) message. If any node is aware of the link failure due to node mobility, packet collision or limited battery during the data transmission, it broadcasts the request control to find a backup node. Zhen Wang et al. [11] proposed a number of issues in QoS routing. Basic problem of QoS routing is finding a feasible path which satisfies QoS parameters as per the requirement of the application. For this, three path computation algorithms are presented for source routing and for hop-by-hop routing. Yan Chen et al. [12] proposed QoS metrics for different network applications which are based on human factors and technology attributes. Both these terms were considered as the key factors that lead the requirements of QoS to vary accordingly. The metrics presented in the paper provided the criteria necessary for QoS assurance. Filali et al. [13] proposed a sniffing based tool technique (called wimeter) that captures and analyzes on real time by which the frames are sent in a preconfigured WLAN. These captured frames are used in determining the portion of time when the channel is free and with this, the available bandwidth is estimated. Call Admission Control Framework is implemented that uses the wimeter as a base of bandwidth estimation. Chen and Heinzelman et al. [14] modified the hello messages in the AODV routing protocol so that it carried bandwidth information of each node and its immediate neighbors. This bandwidth information was then used to calculate the residual bandwidth due to second hop neighborhood interference.

Two models are proposed for QoS routing. One is adaptive feedback based scheme and other one is admission control scheme. A. Abdabou et al. [15] proposed a MAC layer based bandwidth estimation method. Bandwidth of a link in discrete time intervals is calculated by averaging the throughputs of the recent packets in the past time window and use this data to estimate the bandwidth in the current time window. This bandwidth estimation method may not be exact as the channel condition may have changed rapidly. S. Soundararajan et al. [16] proposed a new approach which is based on Multipath Routing Backbone (MRB) for supporting enhanced QoS in MANETs. Throughput is significantly improved with minimizing overall end-to-end delay. This protocol is suitable for highly dynamic ad hoc networks where link failures and route breaks occur frequently. It finds multiple disjoint paths from source to destination where each path satisfies the QoS constraints. Wenjing Yan etal. [17] proposed a Greedy based Backup Routing Protocol that considers both route length and link lifetime to achieve high route stability. Primary route for forwarding data packets is formed primarily based on greedy forwarding mechanism, whereas local backup path is established according to link lifetime. Jiazi Li et al. [18] proposed a Multipath Optimized Link State Routing (MP-OLSR) protocol which gives great flexibility by employing different route metrics and cost functions with multipath approach. A modified route recovery and loop detection mechanism is implemented in MP-OLSR to improve QoS. Mammar Sedrati et al. [19] proposed a QoS routing protocol. In this approach, the discovery of the route formation for path reconstruction is done from the source only. Yadav anita et.al.[20]proposed cross layer design to provide a combined solution for link availability management and power conservation (DPCPLP) in ad hoc networks. This extension is the addition of power control at MAC layer that minimizes power consumption, thus yields longer battery life along with prediction function predicts link breaks and proactively repairs it before breaks at network layer, based on received signal power of the three consecutive received packets and threshold signal power strength.
In summary, many QoS aware routing protocol has been proposed by various authors to improve certain parameters like throughput, delay, jitter and packet delivery ratio. Furthermore at the time of multi applications in queue, these protocols failed to utilize network resources efficiently. Hence, a PBRP based on AODV is proposed in MANETs for QoS provisioning.

**METHODOLOGY**

QoS is a commitment that assures some guaranteed services such as bandwidth, delay, jitter, packet delivery ratio etc. This paper proposes a bandwidth constrained routing on precedence based routing protocol for multiple applications in queue with different bandwidth requirement. A QoS based routing has been proposed that provides feedback about the available bandwidth throughout the route, so that data transmission takes place according to available bandwidth which satisfies requirement of the application from multiple applications in queue.

**Bandwidth Estimation Method**

In bandwidth constrained QoS routing, path is discovered which fulfills the requirement of minimum available bandwidth throughout the route. There are several approaches by which end to end available bandwidth can be calculated. In our approach, end to end available bandwidth is calculated by minimum residual bandwidth among the intermediate nodes throughout the route. As each node shares its available bandwidth between its neighboring nodes, so it is difficult for individual host to calculate residual bandwidth throughout the path. However, calculation of residual bandwidth through 802.11 MAC is still a difficult problem as the bandwidth is shared among neighbors host. Even neighboring hosts are not aware of the traffic status of each other. QoS constrained routing protocol has been proposed by Chen and Heinzelman [14].

![Figure 1: Hidden node problem](image)

The big circle indicates host A’s interference range. The small circles indicate host A and its first neighboring hosts transmission range. Hosts B, C, and D are A’s first neighbors, and hosts F, G, H, and I are host A’s second neighbors. Host E is in host A’s interference range, but it is hidden to A.

Using this approach to gather the first and second neighboring hosts’ information is imprecise. Figure 1 shows an example topology that will result in imprecise information. The outside big circle indicates host A’s interference range, and the other small-size dotted circles indicate host A and its neighbors transmission ranges. Host E is not in A’s transmission range but it is in A’s interference range. In addition, E does not fall into any of A’s neighbors’ transmission range. In this situation, A will never know E’s status. If E transmits data, A’s knowledge of available bandwidth is imprecise. However, this “hidden node” problem does not happen frequently since it has to meet strict requirements to “hide” the host. This kind of inaccuracy is tolerable due to use a wireless channel, our ultimate aim
is better than best effort, and the possibility of “hidden nodes” is low in a well connected network. Even if this situation occurs, it can be overcome by using a conservative bandwidth estimate that leaves some extra bandwidth to conceal this “hidden node” effect.

Let us consider that there are “n” mobile nodes i.e. N1, N2, N3,……, Nn in a network. Each node has to maintain two hop neighbor routing tables. Firstly, the one hop neighbor table and then the two hop neighbor table. Let us consider a mobile node Nx whose one hop neighbor Ny and two hop neighbor is Nz as shown in Figure 2.

Let consumed bandwidth of Ny be By and consumed bandwidth Nz be Bz for all inflows and outflows in the processes by Ny and Nz. As Ny is considered as one hop from Nx, so there is link directed from Nx to Ny. Similarly there is a link directed from Ny to Nz as Nz is two hop neighbor from Nx. In order to maintain the two hop neighbor table, these two neighboring host should exchange their one hop table together with consumed bandwidth periodically via control packet Bi. Bi denotes consumed bandwidth by node Ni i.e. ith node. In this, Ny and Nz have to exchange their routing table together with consumed bandwidth By and Bz respectively. When Ny and Nz receives Bi from Nx node, these nodes will reply with their consumed bandwidth By and Bz respectively. When Nx has received the currently consumed bandwidth By and Bz of both its two hop neighbors Ny and Nz respectively, the residual bandwidth can be easily estimated by subtracting consumed bandwidth of the two neighboring hops from maximum available bandwidth Bresidual is the available residual bandwidth, Bmax is maximum available bandwidth across a path and Bi is bandwidth consumed by node Ni.

The division of the residual bandwidth by the weight factor Wf is done due to 802.11 MAC. The control messages like RTS, CTS and ACK are induced by MAC. These control messages also consumes bandwidth, that’s why back off scheme is not successful for use of the entire bandwidth and also, collision of packets can be there. The weight factor Wf can be calculated as All the terms like RTS, CTS, ACK are used to represent the size of these packets respectively.

![Figure 2: Nx and its two hop neighbors](image)

**Route Discovery**

The precedence based QoS aware routing algorithm utilizes cross layer design. For provision of QoS constrained routing in terms of Available Bandwidth (AvBW), extensions are added to Route Request (RREQ), Route Reply (RREP) and RERR messages. Some modifications have been proposed in routing table structure of AODV protocol. Any node which receives the RREQ with QoS guarantee must agree to fulfill the service requirement as desired by the application. To initiate the route discovery process, the source host sends a RREQ packet whose header is changed to include the information about Model, Desired Bandwidth, Least Desired Bandwidth in AODV RREQ header. The whole route discovery procedure is shown in Figure 3. When a host receives a new RREQ, firstly it checks the model. The model indicates whether the required path has to follow admission scheme or feedback scheme. In case of admission scheme, packets will be forwarded only when Residual Bandwidth (ReBW) on that link is greater than the Desired Bandwidth (DeBW) on that path and mark this route as Route 1. If available residual bandwidth is less than desired bandwidth, node will discard RREQ. In case of feedback scheme packet will be forwarded when residual bandwidth on that link is greater than the desired bandwidth on that path and mark this...
route as Route 1. If residual bandwidth on that path is less than the desired bandwidth but equal to or greater than half of the required bandwidth, desired bandwidth will be updated in RREQ header and will be forwarded. This route is marked as Route 2 and so on until residual bandwidth on the path is less than the Least Required Bandwidth (LrBW). When the destination host receives the RREQ packet, it will also perform the final checking procedure. Reason for this checking procedure is that if RREP for different routes is sent back through the symmetric links, the chosen hosts will bring the mutual interference into the network during transmission. Such type of potential interference cannot be taken into consideration during the route discovery procedure. Therefore final check is essential before sending the RREP to the source host.

Finally the destination host sends a RREP with modified header (min bandwidth, AODV RREP header) to the source host through the symmetric links. Once intermediate host receives the RREP, they store it in their route cache and forward the RREPs to the source through the symmetric links.

**Figure 3: Route Discovery procedure**

**Route Maintenance**

Broken route in conventional AODV is detected by monitoring the “Hello” messages. If a node does not receive a “Hello” message from a specific neighbor within a predefined interval, it marks the route as stale thereby invalidating the route and sends a corresponding route error message (RERR) to the upstream hosts. Only the source host reinitiates the route discovery after receiving the error message. Thus using cache memory of the host is not utilized to respond the route break. AODV cannot be implemented in QoS aware routing scheme as bandwidth is not released at the same time whenever there is a route break. It is not possible to calculate the new route without exactly knowing how much bandwidth is consumed by each host in the route. When using our proposed routing scheme, AODV’s route maintenance procedure is used with some modifications. If the link between any of the hosts in between the route is broken, it sends an error message to its upstream node. Upstream node will see in its route cache whether there is any alternative path available with same or more residual bandwidth. If yes, it will forward
the data through that alternate path. If there is no such path satisfying the QoS constraints with the upstream nodes, source node will get the error message and it will start a new route discovery.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
This section investigates the performance of our proposed approach PBRP with conventional AODV. Network simulator NS-2 is used to analyze the performance of proposed PBRP scheme with different weight factors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
For performance evaluation, nodes are randomly deployed in 1000 m x 1000 m area. Simulations are carried out using network simulator NS-2. Each node is equipped with a transceiver. Different nodes communicate via radio signals having transmission range of 250 m. Channel bandwidth taken is 2 Mbps. Nodes velocity of 20 m/s. In our simulation, IEEE 802.11 is used as MAC layer protocol. The mobility of the nodes is determined by random waypoint mobility model. Path loss model is Two Ray Ground model. For Constant Bit Rate (CBR) data sessions, node pairs are randomly selected with each CBR session generating 5 packets per second with 1200 bytes as each data packet size. In order to analyze the performance of our proposed routing protocol with different weight factors and compare with conventional AODV protocol, number of mobile nodes 50 has been taken in simulation. Initially load in the network is varied from 0.1 Mbps, 0.2 Mbps, 0.3 Mbps, 0.4 Mbps and 0.5 Mbps, 0.6Mbps. Simulation time was 100 sec.

1) Average End-to-End Delay
From Figure 4, it can be observed that average end-to-end delay in our proposed approach is much less as compared with conventional AODV with different number of nodes and mobility. The end-to-end delay of our proposed node-disjoint scheme also shows improvement in end-to-end delay with increase of weight factor from 1.0 to 1.2 to 1.4 as shown. In this approach, end-to-end delay is significantly minimized as low link failure is there in PBRP as compared to AODV.

2) Normalized Control Overhead
Figure 5 shows normalized control overhead for PBRP with different weight factors and AODV protocol. Overheads increases with increase in load for both PBRP and AODV due to more frequent route failures. Control overheads are much less in PBRP with different weight factors as compared to AODV.

3) Packet Delivery Ratio
Packet delivery ratio of the proposed protocol compared with AODV protocol is shown in Figure 6. It can be seen from the figure that despite of dynamic nature of MANETs due to mobility, PBRP maintains high degree of packet delivery ratio as compared to AODV protocol due to presence of multiple paths to destination for different bandwidth requirement. When an active route path fails due to mobility of nodes, this approach can maintain the data transfer between source and destination by getting an alternate route if available from one hop upstream node. Thus PBRP approach has much better packet delivery ratio as compared to AODV protocol.

This approach assures high packet delivery ratio even in high mobility. From the results, it can be concluded that PBRP shows much significant performance improvement in MANETs. Therefore this approach is a good solution for provisioning of QoS in MANETs for precedence based bandwidth estimation for different applications in queue. Due to data transfer according to bandwidth availability, the service quality is much better as compared to AODV. As PBRP discovers bandwidth constrained paths, it outperforms AODV for different QoS parameters like end-to-end delay, packet delivery ratio and normalized control overheads.
Figure 4. Average End to End delay versus Load

Figure 5. Control overhead versus Load
CONCLUSION
In this paper, an on-demand QoS bandwidth constrained routing protocol for precedence based bandwidth estimation in MANETs has been proposed. The proposed scheme is based on AODV protocol. Conventional AODV is modified to overcome some shortcomings of AODV protocol. This proposed scheme is much effective where networks are not very stable since it can better estimate the residual bandwidth in case of frequent route breaks. Our proposed protocol discovers multiple routes based on bandwidth availability in addition to hop count only. Route maintenance is more efficient than the existing standards of AODV. These characteristics make the protocol more suitable for real-time data and voice transmission applications in MANETs under 802.11.

Simulation results have shown significant improvements in terms of certain QoS parameters like end-to-end delay, control overheads and packet delivery ratio for different node mobility. QoS is the most important issue for latest computer networks. As MANETs follow a distributed and uncertain environment, prioritized QoS is more suitable for such networks. Frequent link failure is a major issue in MANETs, and therefore alternate route strategies should be implemented as per QoS requirements. In this paper, only bandwidth estimation with precedence has been considered for QoS routing. It can be extended to some other resource reservation scheme.

REFERENCES