ABSTRACT
The objective of this thesis is to analyze the design of the Formula SAE roll cage. This work describes how a common model of the roll cage is developed using solid works Finite Element Analysis to be performed by Ansys 16 software. In this paper Front impact, back impact or side impact of roll cage is performed. This paper’s sole focus is the design and analysis for a chassis which has to sustain the racing environment. As chassis plays a vital role in a race car but can be called as the back bone of a good race car.
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INTRODUCTION
The most important aspect of the vehicle design is the frame. The frame contains the operator, engine, brake system, fuel system, and steering mechanism, and must be of adequate strength to protect the operator in the event of a rollover or impact. The roll cage must be constructed of steel tubing, with minimum dimensional and strength requirements dictated by SAE.

MODEL GENERATION AND BOUNDARY CONDITION OF ROLL CAGE
Model is created in Solid works 2015 and imported in Ansys workbench as stp file (Fig.1). The meshing of Geometry was performed in Ansys Workbench (Fig.2). Details of Meshing are given below in Table.1
Table 1: Meshing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meshing Sizing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Advanced Size Function</td>
<td>Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance Center</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element Size</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Size Seed</td>
<td>Active Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothing</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span Angle Center</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Edge Length</td>
<td>5.8405e-006 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Properties of Structure Steel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Properties</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>2e+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s Ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Modulus</td>
<td>1.6667E+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Modulus</td>
<td>7.6923E+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Yield Strength</td>
<td>2.5E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span Angle Center</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOAD ANALYSIS
Case 1: Front Impact: - In this Case Back side of Roll Cage Provide Fixed Support and load is applied front of the roll cage as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4

Figure: 3 Support

Figure: 4 Load Condition
Case 2: Front Impact: - In this Case Front side of Roll Cage Provide Fixed Support and load is applied back of the roll cage as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6

Figure: 5 Support

Figure: 6 Load Condition

Case 3: Side Impact: - In this right side of Roll Cage Provide Fixed Support and load is applied left of the roll cage as shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8

Figure: 7 Support
RESULTS
After solving the above mentioned load in Ansys 16 Workbench following Results obtained in Static Structure.

Maximum principal stress theory
According to this, if one of the principal stresses \( \sigma_1 \) (maximum principal stress), \( \sigma_2 \) (minimum principal stress) or \( \sigma_3 \) exceeds the yield stress, yielding would occur. In a two dimensional loading situation for a ductile material where tensile and compressive yield stress are nearly of same magnitude

\[
\sigma_1 = \pm \sigma_y, \quad \sigma_2 = \pm \sigma_y
\]

Using this, a yield surface may be drawn, as shown in figure-9

Maximum principal strain theory
According to this theory, yielding will occur when the maximum principal strain just exceeds the strain at the tensile yield point in either simple tension or compression. If \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) are maximum and minimum principal strains corresponding to \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), in the limiting case.
The boundary of a yield surface in this case is thus given as shown in figure-10:

\[ \varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_1 - \nu \sigma_2) \quad |\sigma_1| \geq |\sigma_2| \]

\[ \varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_2 - \nu \sigma_1) \quad |\sigma_2| \geq |\sigma_1| \]

This gives, \( E\varepsilon_1 = \sigma_1 - \nu \sigma_2 = \pm \sigma_0 \)
\[ E\varepsilon_2 = \sigma_2 - \nu \sigma_1 = \pm \sigma_0 \]

The boundary of a yield surface in this case is thus given as shown in figure-10.

**Maximum shear stress theory**

According to this theory, yielding would occur when the maximum shear stress just exceeds the shear stress at the tensile yield point. At the tensile yield point \( \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0 \) and thus maximum shear stress is \( \sigma_y/2 \). This gives us six conditions for a three-dimensional stress situation:

\[ \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 = \pm \sigma_y \]
\[ \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 = \pm \sigma_y \]
\[ \sigma_3 - \sigma_1 = \pm \sigma_y \]

**Figure: 11 Yield surface corresponding to maximum shear stress theory**

**Case 1: Front Impact Result**

The following results obtained in Front Impact
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Figure: 12 Total Deformation

Figure: 13 Directional Deformation

Figure: 14 Equivalent Elastic Strain
Figure: 15 Equivalent Stress

Figure: 16 Maximum Principal Elastic Strain

Figure: 17 Maximum Principal Stress
Case 2: Back Impact Result
The following results obtained in back Impact
**Figure: 21 Directional Deformation**

**Figure: 22 Equivalent Elastic Strain**

**Figure: 23 Equivalent Stress**
Figure: 24 Maximum Principal Elastic Strain

Figure: 25 Maximum Principal Stress

Figure: 26 Maximum Shear Elastic Strain
Case 3: Side Impact Result
The following results obtained in Side Impact.
Figure: 30 Equivalent Elastic Strain

Figure: 31 Equivalent Stress

Figure: 32 Maximum Principal Elastic Strain
Figure: 33 Maximum Principal Stress

Figure: 34 Maximum Shear Elastic Strain

Figure: 35 Maximum Shear Stress
CONCLUSION
The completion of the chassis is a major annual milestone for every FSAE team. A completed chassis provides motivation to complete other parts of the car because the team members can now visualize what has been in the design phase for months. Every team sets a goal to complete their frame early, giving them a chance to test the car for two or three months before each competition, but frequently there are delays. These delays can range from financial difficulties, materials procurement problems, workshop limitations, and team member skill development.

The chassis design and construction process is a cornerstone of the FSAE project. The many details that must be considered during this procedure provides great practice to aspiring engineers and gives them a leg up on their competition.
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